arXiv:1210.5764v2 [math.GN] 21 Dec 2012

INTERSECTION OF ESSENTIAL MINIMAL PRIME IDEALS

A. TAHERIFAR

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{Z(R)}$ be the set of zero divisor elements of a commutative unitary ring R and $\mathcal{M}$ be the space of minimal prime ideals of R with Zariski topology. An ideal I of R is called strongly dense ideal or briefly *sd*-ideal if $I \subseteq \mathcal{Z(R)}$ and is contained in no minimal prime ideal. We denote by $R_K(\mathcal{M})$, the set of all $a \in R$ for which $\overline{D(a)} = \overline{\mathcal{M} \setminus V(a)}$ is compact. We show that R has property (A) and $\mathcal{M}$ is compact if and only if R has no *sd*-ideal. It is proved that $R_K(\mathcal{M})$ is an essential ideal (*sd*-ideal, respectively) if and only if $\mathcal{M}$ is an almost locally compact ($\mathcal{M}$ is a locally compact non-compact, respectively) space. The infinite intersection of essential minimal prime ideals in any ring need not be an essential ideal. We find equivalent condition for which any (any countable, respectively) intersection of essential minimal prime ideals of a reduced ring R is an essential ideal. Also it is proved that any intersection of essential minimal prime ideals of $C(X)$ is an essential ideal if and only if the set of isolated points of X is dense in X. Finally, we characterize the topological space X for which the intersection of essential minimal prime ideals of $C(X)$ is equal to the known ideal $C_F(X)$ ($C_K(X)$, respectively).

1. Introduction

In this paper, R assumed to be a commutative ring with unitary, and $\mathcal{M}$ is the space of minimal prime ideals of R with Zariski topology, i.e, the set $\{D(a) = m \setminus V(a) : a \in R\}$ is a base for open sets in this topology, (see [8]). Note that $\overline{D(a)}$ is the closure points of $D(a)$ in $\mathcal{M}$. The intersection of minimal prime ideals containing a is denoted by P_a . An ideal I of R is called z^0-ideal, if $P_b \subseteq P_a$ and $a \in I$, then $b \in I$. (See [2] and [5]). For any subset S of a ring R, $\operatorname{ann}(S) = \{a \in R : aS = 0\}$.

We denote by $C(X)$ the ring of real-valued, continuous functions on a completely regular space X. βX is the stone-Cech compactification of X and for any $p \in \beta X$, O^p (respectively, M^p) is the set by all $f \in C(X)$ for which $p \in int_{\beta X} cl_{\beta X} Z(f)$ (respectively, $p \in cl_{\beta X} Z(f)$). O^p is the intersection of all minimal prime ideals contained in M^p. The reader is referred to [7] for undefined terms and notations.

A non-zero ideal in a commutative ring is said to be essential if it intersects every non-zero ideal non-trivially, and the intersection of all essential ideals, or the sum of all minimal ideals, is called the socle (see

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54C40, 13A15.

Key words and phrases. Essential ideals, *sd*-ideal, almost locally compact space, nowhere dense, first category, Zariski topology.

[12]). We denote the socle of $C(X)$ by $C_F(X)$; it is characterized in [11] as the set of all functions which vanish everywhere except on a finite number of points of X.

The known ideal $C_K(X)$ in $C(X)$, is the set of functions with compact support, and the generalization of this ideal are defined in [14]. We denote by $R_K(\mathcal{M})$, all of $a \in R$ for which $\overline{D(a)}$ is compact as a subspace of $\mathcal{M}$. This ideal is similar to $C_K(X)$, but in case $R = C(X)$, we do not prove the equality of these ideals. An ideal I of R is said to be fd-ideal if $\operatorname{ann}(F) \subseteq \operatorname{ann}(x)$, for some finite subset F of I and $a \in R$, then $a \in I$. We see that $R_K(\mathcal{M})$ is contained in the intersection of all strongly dense fd-ideals in R.

2. $R_K(\mathcal{M})$ AND STRONGLY DENSE IDEALS

Definition 2.1. Let R be a commutative ring with unitary and $D(a)$ is the set of prime ideals which are not contains a. We define the family $R_K(\mathcal{M})$ to be the set of all $a \in R$ for which $\overline{D(a)}$ is compact (as a subspace of $\mathcal{M}$).

Lemma 2.1. (i). *$R_K(\mathcal{M})$ is a z^0-ideal in R.*

(ii). *$R_K(\mathcal{M}) = R$ if and only if $\mathcal{M}$ is compact.*

(iii). *$R_K(\mathcal{M}) = 0$ if and only if $\mathcal{M}$ is nowhere compact (the interior of every compact is empty).*

Proof. (i). For $a, b \in R_K(\mathcal{M})$, we have $\overline{D(a+b)} \subseteq \overline{D(a)} \cup \overline{D(b)}$, and if $a \in R$, $b \in R_K(\mathcal{M})$, then $\overline{D(ab)} \subseteq \overline{D(b)}$, therefore $R_K(\mathcal{M})$ is an ideal of R. Now let $P_b \subseteq P_a$ and $a \in R_K(\mathcal{M})$. Then $V(a) \subseteq V(b)$, hence $\overline{D(b)} \subseteq \overline{D(a)}$ so $\overline{D(b)}$ is compact, i.e, $b \in R_K(\mathcal{M})$.

(ii). By definition, evident.

(iii). Let K be compact subset of $\mathcal{M}$ and $P \in int(K)$. Then there is a non-zero element $f \in R$ such that $P \in D(f) \subseteq int(K)$, so $f \in R_K(\mathcal{M}) = 0$, i.e, $D(f) = \phi$, which is a contradiction. Now let $f \in R_K(\mathcal{M})$. Then $D(f)$ is contained in the interior of $\overline{D(f)}$ so $D(f) = \phi$, i.e, $f = 0$. □

Definition 2.2. An ideal I of R is called strongly dense ideal or briefly sd-ideal if $I \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R})$ and is contained in no minimal prime ideal ($V(I) = \phi$).

Every non-minimal prime ideal contained in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R})$ is an sd-ideal.

Remark 2.1. *Every sd-ideal in a reduced ring R is a dense ideal (essential ideal), but there is dense ideal which is not sd-ideal. For let I be a sd-ideal and $g \in \operatorname{ann}(\mathrm{I})$. Then $gf = 0$ for each $f \in I$ therefore we have, $\bigcap_{f\in I} V(gf) = \mathcal{M}$, hence $V(g) \cup (\bigcap_{f\in I} V(f)) = \mathcal{M}$, i.e,*

$V(g) = \mathcal{M}$ thus $g = 0$. Now let x be a non-isolated point in compact space X. Then by [4, 3.2], the ideal O_x is an essential ideal so by [4, Theorem, 3.1], $\mathrm{ann(O_x)} = 0$, but this ideal is not sd-ideal. Because there is a minimal prime ideal in $C(X)$ contains O_x, i.e, $V(O_x) \neq \phi$.

We denote by I_z, the intersection of all z-ideals contains I. An ideal I of R is called rez-ideal if there is an ideal J which $I \not\subseteq J$ and $I_z \cap J \subseteq I$. For more see [2] and [5].

Proposition 2.1. *For every ideal I in a reduced ring R, $I(\sqrt{I})$ is a sd-ideal or I is a rez-ideal or I is a dense ideal which is not sd-ideal.*

Proof. Let I be neither sd-ideal nor rez-ideal, then there is $P \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $I \subseteq P$ and by [2, Corollary 2.8], $\mathrm{ann(I)} = 0$ so I is a dense ideal which is not strongly dense ideal. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let R be a reduced ring.*
(i). *$\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} V(f_i) = \phi$ if and only if $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{ann(f_i)} = 0$.*
(ii). *If F is a finite subset of R, then $V(F) = \mathcal{M} \setminus V(\mathrm{ann(F)})$.*
(iii). *If $I \subseteq \mathcal{Z(R)}$ is a finitely generated ideal, then I is an sd-ideal if and only if I is a dense ideal.*
(iv). *If R has a finite minimal prime ideal, then R has no sd-ideal.*

Proof. Trivial. □

A ring R has property (A) (respectively, property $(a.c.)$), if for any finitely generated ideal $I \subseteq \mathcal{Z(R)}$, $\mathrm{ann(I)} \neq 0$ (respectively, for any finitely generated ideal I of R there is $c \in R$ such that $\mathrm{ann(I)} = \mathrm{ann(c)}$). In the following theorem we see a class of reduced rings which have no sd-ideal.

Theorem 2.1. *Let R ba a reduced rings with total quotient $T(R)$. The following conditions are equivalent.*
(i). *$T(R)$ is a von Neumann regular ring.*
(ii). *R satisfies property (A) and $\mathcal{M}$ is compact*
(iii). *R has no sd-ideal.*
(iv). *R satisfies property $(a.c)$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is compact.*

Proof. The equivalence of $(i), (ii), (iv)$, is proved in [10, Theorem 4.5], now we prove the equivalence of $(ii), (iii)$. Let I be a sd-ideal. Then $\bigcap_{f \in I} V(f) = \phi$. Hence $\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{f \in I} D(f)$, compactness of $\mathcal{M}$ implies that there are $f_1, ..., f_n \in I$ such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} V(f_i) = \phi$, so by Lemma 2.2,we have $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{ann(f_i)} = \mathrm{ann(F)} = 0$. This is a contradiction, for R has property (A) and $F \subseteq \mathcal{Z(R)}$. Conversely, suppose that $I \subseteq \mathcal{Z(R)}$ is a finitely generated ideal and $\mathrm{ann(I)} = 0$. Then by Lemma 2.2, I is a sd-ideal, this is a contradiction by hypothesis, i.e, R

has property (A). Let $\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{f\in S} D(f)$ where S is a proper subset of R. If $(S) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R})$, then $\bigcap_{f\in(S)} V(f) = \phi$, implies that (S) is a sd-ideal, a contradiction. Hence $(S) \nsubseteq \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R})$, i.e, there are $F = \{f_1, ..., f_n\} \subseteq S$ such that ann(F) = 0 so by Lemma 2.2, $V(F) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} V(f_i) = \mathcal{M} \setminus V(\text{ann(F)}) = \emptyset$, i.e, $\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} D(f_i)$. □

Henriksen and Woods have introduced cozero complemented space (if, for every Cozeros-set V of X, there is a disjoint Cozero-set V' of X such that $V \cup V'$ is dense in X). (see [9]). In [8], they have proved the space of minimal prime ideals of $C(X)$ is compact if and only if X is a Cozero complemented space. Now by Theorem 2.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1. *$C(X)$ has no sd-ideal if and only if X is a Cozero complemented space.*

A ring R has property $(c.a.c)$, if for any countably generated ideal I of R, there exists $c \in R$ such that ann(I) = ann(c). If R is a ring with property $(c.a.c)$, then by [8, Theorem, 4.9] $\mathcal{M}$ is countably compact. But in a ring with property (A) this need not be true.

Proposition 2.2. *Let R ba a reduced ring. R satisfies property (A) and $\mathcal{M}$ is countably compact if and only if R has no countably generated sd-ideal.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of the above theorem. □

Recall that an ideal I of R is called fd-ideal, if for each finite subset F of I and $x \in R$, $\text{ann}(F) \subseteq \text{ann}(x)$ implies that $x \in I$. For more see [13].

Proposition 2.3. *(i). $R_K(\mathcal{M})$ is contained in the intersection of all strongly dense fd-ideals in R.*

(ii). $R_K(\mathcal{M})$ is a sd-ideal if and only if $\mathcal{M}$ is a locally compact non-compact space.

Proof. (i). Let I be a strongly dense fd-ideal, $f \in R_K(\mathcal{M})$ and $P \in \overline{D(f)}$. Then there is $g \in I$ such that $P \in D(g)$, so $\overline{D(f)} \subseteq \bigcup_{g\in I} D(g)$. But $\overline{D(f)}$ is compact so there are $g_1, ..., g_n \in I$ such that $\overline{D(f)} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} D(g_i)$ hence $V(f) \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} V(g_i) = V(\{g_1, ..., g_n\})$. This implies that annF $\subseteq$ ann(f), where $F = \{g_1, ..., g_n\} \subseteq I$. But I is a fd-ideal so $f \in I$.

(ii). Let $P \in \mathcal{M}$. By definition, there is $f \in R_K(\mathcal{M})$ such that $P \in D(f) \subseteq \overline{D(f)}$ so P has a compact neighborhoods, i.e, $\mathcal{M}$ is a locally compact space. On the other hand, $\mathcal{M}$ is not compact, since if $\mathcal{M}$ is compact, then by Lemma 2.1, $R_K(\mathcal{M}) = R$, which is a contradiction. Conversely, First, we see that $R_K(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq Z(R)$. Because, if $f \in R_K(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathrm{ann(f)} = 0$, then $D(f) = \mathcal{M}$ is compact, which is a contrary, by hypothesis. Now for every $P \in \mathcal{M}$ there is a compact neighborhoods K of P in $\mathcal{M}$. So there is $f \in R$ such that $P \in D(f) \subseteq intK \subseteq K$, i.e, $f \in R_K(\mathcal{M})$, hence $R_K(\mathcal{M})$ is an *sd*-ideal. $\square$

A Hausdorff space X is said to be an almost locally compact space if every non-empty open set of X contains a non-empty open set with compact closure. See [3]. The next result is a topological characterization of $R_K(\mathcal{M})$ as an essential ideal.

Theorem 2.2. *Let R be a reduced ring. $R_K(\mathcal{M})$ is an essential ideal if and only if $\mathcal{M}$ is an almost locally compact space.*

Proof. Let U be an open subset of $\mathcal{M}$. There exist a non-zero element $f \in R$ such that $D(f) \subseteq U$. It is enough to prove that $D(f)$ contains $D(g)$ for some $g \in R_K(\mathcal{M})$. If $D(f) \cap D(g) = \phi$ for each $g \in R_K(\mathcal{M})$, then $D(fg) = \phi$, so $fg = 0$, i.e, $R_K(\mathcal{M}) \cap (f) = 0$, this is a contradiction by essentiality of $R_K(\mathcal{M})$. Hence there is $g \in R_K(\mathcal{M})$ such that $D(fg) = D(f) \cap D(g) \neq \phi$, but $D(fg) \subseteq D(f)$, i.e, U contains an open subset for which the closure is compact. Conversely, Let f be a non-zero element in R. Then $D(f) \neq \phi$ is an open subset in X. By hypothesis there is an open subset $V \subseteq D(f)$ such that $cl(V)$ is compact, so there is a non-zero element $g \in R$ such that $D(g) \subseteq V \subseteq D(f)$, i.e, $g \in R_K(\mathcal{M})$. Now $D(fg) = D(f) \cap D(g) = D(g) \neq \phi$, hence $fg \neq 0$ is an element of $R_K(\mathcal{M}) \cap (f)$, i.e, $R_K(\mathcal{M})$ is an essential ideal. $\square$

3. Intersection of essential minimal prime ideals

The intersection of essential minimal prime ideals of a reduced ring R need not be an essential ideal. Even a countable intersection of essential minimal prime ideals need not be an essential ideal. For example, the ideal O_r for any rational $0 \leq r \leq 1$ is an essential ideal in $C(\mathbb{R})$, which is the intersection of minimal prime ideals. Now for any $0 \leq r \leq 1$ let P_r be a minimal prime ideal contains O_r, then any P_r is an essential ideal, but by [4, Theorem, 3.1], the ideal $I = \bigcap P_r$ is not an essential ideal, for $\bigcap Z[I] = [0, 1]$ and $int[0, 1] \neq \phi$. In this section we give a topological characterization of the intersection of essential minimal prime ideals of a reduced ring R which is an essential ideal. Before that we need the following lemmas which their proof are easy.

Lemma 3.1. *Let R be a reduced ring. An ideal I of R is an essential ideal if and only if $intV(I) = \phi$ $(\mathrm{ann(I)} = 0)$.*

Lemma 3.2. *The intersection of essential minimal prime ideals in a reduced ring R is equal to $O_{(\mathcal{M}\setminus I(\mathcal{M}))} = \{a \in R : (\mathcal{M}\setminus I(\mathcal{M})) \subseteq V(a)\}$, where $I(\mathcal{M})$ is the set of isolated points of $\mathcal{M}$.*

Proposition 3.1. *Let R be a reduced ring. Every intersection of essential minimal prime ideals is an essential ideal if and only if the set of isolated points of $\mathcal{M}$ is dense in $\mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. $O_{(m\setminus I(\mathcal{M}))}$ is an essential ideal, so by Lemma 2.2, $intV(O_{(m\setminus I(\mathcal{M})}) = \phi$. On the other hand, we have $V(O_{(m\setminus I(\mathcal{M})}) = \overline{\mathcal{M}\setminus I(\mathcal{M})} = (\mathcal{M}\setminus I(\mathcal{M}))$, for $(\mathcal{M}\setminus I(\mathcal{M})) \subseteq V(a)$ for each $a \in O_{(\mathcal{M}\setminus I(\mathcal{M}))}$, hence $(\mathcal{M}\setminus I(\mathcal{M})) \subseteq V(O_{(\mathcal{M}\setminus I(\mathcal{M}))})$. Now let $P \in V(O_{(\mathcal{M}\setminus I(\mathcal{M}))})$, $P \in D(a)$ for some $a \in R$ and $D(a) \cap (\mathcal{M}\setminus I(\mathcal{M})) = \phi$, then $(\mathcal{M}\setminus I(\mathcal{M})) \subseteq V(a)$, i.e, $a \in O_{(\mathcal{M}\setminus I(\mathcal{M}))}$, thus $a \in P$, this is a contradiction. Therefore $int(\mathcal{M}\setminus I(\mathcal{M})) = int(V(O_{(\mathcal{M}\setminus A)})) = \phi$, i.e, $\overline{I(\mathcal{M})} = \mathcal{M}$.

Conversely, we have $int(V(O_{(\mathcal{M}\setminus A)})) = int(\mathcal{M}\setminus I(\mathcal{M})) = \phi$, hence $O_{(\mathcal{M}\setminus I(\mathcal{M}))}$ is an essential ideal, i.e, every intersection of essential minimal prime ideals is an essential ideal. □

Theorem 3.1. *Let R be a reduced ring. Every countable intersection of essential minimal prime ideals of R is an essential ideal if and only if every first category subset of $\mathcal{M}$ is nowhere dense in $\mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. Let F_n be a sequence of nowhere dense subset of $\mathcal{M}$. Then by Lemma 2.2, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $O_{F_n} = \{a \in R : F_n \subseteq V(a)\} = \bigcap_{P\in F_n} P$, is an essential ideal. By hypothesis, $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} O_{F_n} = \{a \in R : \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_n \subseteq V(a)\}$ is an essential ideal. On the other hand $V(E) = cl(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_n)$ so we must have $int(cl(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n)) = \phi$, i.e, $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_n$ is nowhere dense.

Conversely, let (I_n) be a sequence of essential minimal prime ideals in R. Letting $\{I_n\} = F_n$, then $int(F_n) = intV(I_n) = \phi$, i.e, each F_n is a nowhere subset of $\mathcal{M}$. $O_{F_n} \subseteq I_n$ implies that $O_A \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$, where $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$. Now we have $V(O_A) = cl(A)$, and since A is first category subset, then $int(cl(A)) = \phi$, i.e, O_A is an essential ideal. Thus $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ is also an essential ideal. □

Azarpanah has proved every intersection of essential ideals of $C(X)$, i.e, the socle of $C(X)$ is an essential ideal if and only if the set of isolated points of X is dense in X, [3, Corollary 2.3]. We see that this property is equivalent to the property: every intersection of essential minimal prime ideals of $C(X)$ is an essential ideal. Before we need the following lemma which characterize the intersection of essential minimal prime ideals of $C(X)$.

Lemma 3.3. *The intersection of essential minimal prime ideals of $C(X)$ is equal to the $O^{\beta X\setminus I(X)}$, where $I(X)$ is the set of isolated points of a topological space X.*

Proof. Let P be an essential minimal prime ideal, of $C(X)$, then by [4, Corollary, 3.3], there is $p \in \beta X \setminus I(X)$ such that $O^p \subseteq P$ so $O^{\beta X \setminus I(X)}$ is contained in the intersection of essential minimal prime ideals. Now let f is an element of the intersection of essential minimal prime ideals and $p \in \beta X \setminus I(X)$, then by, [4, Theorem, 3.1], O^p is an essential ideal, which is the intersection of some essential minimal prime ideals, therefore $f \in O^p$. Hence the intersection of essential minimal prime ideals is contained in $O^{\beta X \setminus I(X)}$. $\square$

An ideal I of R is called pure ideal, if for any $f \in I$, there is a $g \in I$ such that $f = fg$ (see [1]). The set of all points in a topological space X where have compact neighborhoods is denoted by X_L. Easily seen that $X_L = coz(C_K(X))$. Since $\beta X \setminus X \subseteq \beta X \setminus I(X)$, we have, $C_F(X) \subseteq O^{\beta X \setminus I(X)} \subseteq C_K(X)$, where $C_K(X) = O^{\beta X \setminus X}$, see [7, 7. F]. But in the following theorem we see that the intersection of essential minimal prime ideals in $C(X)$ need not be equal to the socle of $C(X)$ (respectively, $C_K(X)$).

Theorem 3.2. (i). *Every intersection of essential minimal prime ideals of $C(X)$ is an essential ideal if and only if the set of isolated points of X is dense in X.*

(ii). *The intersection of essential minimal prime ideals of $C(X)$ is equal with $C_F(X)$ if and only if the set of isolated points of X $(I(X))$ is finite.*

(iii). *The intersection of essential minimal prime ideals of $C(X)$ is equal with $C_K(X)$ if and only if $I(X) = X_L = \bigcup_{f \in C_K(X)} \overline{X \setminus Z(f)}$, i.e, $I(X) = X_L$ and $C_K(X)$ is a pure ideal.*

Proof. (i). By hypothesis, $O^{\beta X \setminus I(X)}$ is an essential ideal. By [6, Lemma 1.6], $\bigcap_{f \in O^{(\beta X \setminus I(X))}} clZ(f) = cl(\beta X \setminus I(X)) = (\beta X \setminus I(X))$. Therefore $\bigcap_{f \in O^{(\beta X \setminus I(X))}} Z(f) = X \setminus I(X)$, and hence by [4, Theorem, 3.1], $int(X \setminus I(X)) = int \bigcap_{f \in O^{(\beta X \setminus I(X))}} Z(f) = \phi$, i.e, $\overline{I(X)} = X$. Conversely, by [3, Corollary, 2.3], obvious.

(ii). We know that $C_F(X) \subseteq O^{\beta X \setminus I(X)}$. Now let $f \in O^{\beta X \setminus I(X)}$ and $I(X)$ is finite, then we have $X \setminus I(X) \subseteq intZ(f)$ so $X \setminus Z(f) \subseteq I(X)$, i.e, $f \in C_F(X)$.

Conversely, suppose that $I(X)$ is infinite. Then $I(X)$ contains a countable subset $S = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, ...\}$. By regularity of βX, there exist an open subset U in βX such that $U \subseteq \overline{U} \subseteq S$, so there is $f_n \in C^*(X)$ such that $f_n^\beta(x_n) = 1$ and $f_n^\beta(p) = 0$ for any $p \in \beta X \setminus U$. Define $f = \sum_{n=1}^{n=\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{|f_n^\beta|}{1+|f_n^\beta|}$. Then $f \in C(\beta X)$ so $g = f|_X \in C^*(X)$ and we have $(\beta X \setminus I(X)) \subseteq (\beta X \setminus \overline{U})$, $(\beta X \setminus \overline{U}) \cap X \subseteq Z(g)$. Therefore by

[7, 7.12, (a)], $g \in O^p$ for all $p \in \beta X \setminus \overline{U}$, hence $g \in O^{\beta X \setminus \overline{U}} \subseteq O^{(\beta X \setminus I(X))}$. On the other hand $X \setminus Z(g) = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, ...\}$, therefore $g \notin C_F(X)$, which is a contradiction.

(iii). Let $C_K(X) = O^{\beta X \setminus I(X)}$. Easily seen that $I(X) \subseteq X_L$. Now let $x \in X_L$, then there exist compact subset U in X such that $x \in intU$, i.e, $x \notin X \setminus intU$. Completely regularity of X implies that there is $f \in C(X)$ such that $x \in X \setminus Z(f) \subseteq U \subseteq \overline{U}$, hence $x \in X \setminus Z(f)$, where $f \in C_K(X)$. By hypothesis, $X \setminus I(X) \subseteq Z(f)$ so $x \in I(X)$. Therefore $I(X) = X_L$, hence $C_K(X) = O^{\beta X \setminus I(X)} = O^{\beta X \setminus coz(C_K(X))}$, so by [1, Theorem, 3.2], $X_L = coz(C_K(X)) = \bigcup_{f \in C_K(X)} \overline{X \setminus Z(f)}$.

Conversely, we have $I(X) = X_L = \bigcup_{f \in C_K(X)} \overline{X \setminus Z(f)}$, hence by [1, Theorem, 3.2], $C_K(X) = O^{\beta X \setminus coz(C_K(X))} = O^{\beta X \setminus X_L} = O^{\beta X \setminus I(X)}$. □

By using the above theorem, we give examples of topological space X for which $C_K(X)$ is equal to the intersection of essential minimal prime ideals.

Example 3.1. (i). *Let X be locally compact space. Then $C_K(X) = O^{\beta X \setminus I(X)}$ if and only if X is a discrete space. For if X is a locally compact, then $C_K(X)$ is a pure ideal and $X_L = X$ so if $C_K(X) = O^{\beta X \setminus I(X)}$, then $I(X) = X_L = X$, i.e, X is a discrete space. The converse is obvious.*

(ii). *Let $X = Q$, i.e, rational number, with all points having their usual neighborhood except for $x = 0$ is isolated point. Then $X_L = I(X) = \{0\}$ and $C_K(X)$=$\{f \in C(X) :$ f=0 except for x=0$\}$ is a pure ideal so $C_K(X) = O^{\beta X \setminus I(X)}$.*

In the following, we see example of space X for which $C_K(X)$ is not equal to the intersection of essential minimal prime ideals.

Example 3.2. *Let $X = [-1, 1]$ with all point are isolated point expect for $x = 0$ has usual neighborhood. Then $X_L = X \setminus \{0\} = I(X)$ but $C_K(X)$ is not equal with $O^{\beta X \setminus I(X)}$, for $C_K(X)$ is not a pure ideal, see [1, Example, 3.3].*

By Theorem 3.1 and the above theorem, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. *The set of isolated points of X is dense in X if and only if the set of isolated points of $m(C(X))$ is dense in $m(C(X))$.*

By [3, Theorem, 2.4] and Proposition 3.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *Let X be a compact space. Every first category subset of X is nowhere dense in X if and only if every first category subset of $\mathcal{M}(C(X))$ is nowhere dense in $\mathcal{M}(C(X))$.*

Question 3.1. (i). *If* $R = C(X)$, *when* $R_{\mathcal{M}} = C_K(X)$? *Note that in case* X *and* $\mathcal{M}$ *are compact or nowhere compact, then* $R_K(\mathcal{M}) = C_K(X)$.

(ii). *When is the intersection of sd-ideals in reduced ring* R *a sd-ideal?*

(iii). *When is the intersection of sd-ideals in* $C(X)$ *a sd-ideal?*

(iv). *When is* $R_K(\mathcal{M})$ *equal with the intersection of all strongly* fd*-ideals?*

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Dr. E. Momtahen for his encouragement and discussion on this paper.

****

1. E. A. Abu Osba and H. Al-Ezeh *Purity of the ideal of continuous functions with compact support*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 41 (1999), 111-120.

2. A. R. Aliabad , F. Azarpanah and A. Taherifar, *Relative z-ideals in commutative rings*, comm. algebra, To Apears. 2011.

3. F. Azarpanah, *Intersection of essential ideals in $C(X)$*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125(1997), 2149-2154.

4. F. Azarpanah , *Essential ideals in $C(X)$*, Period. Math. Hungar., 31(1995), 105-112.

5. F. Azarpanah and A. Taherifar, *Relative z-ideals in $C(X)$*, Topology Appl., 156(2009), 1711-1717.

6. W. Dietrich , *On the ideal structure of $C(X)$*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 152 (1970), 61-77. MR 42:850.

7. L. Gillman and M. Jerison , *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Springer, 1976.

8. M. Henriksen and M. Jerison, *The space of minimal prime ideals of a commutative ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 115 (1965) 110130.

9. M. Henriksen and R. G. Woods, *Cozero complemented spaces; when the space of minimal prime ideals of a C(X) is compact*, Topology and its Applications 141 (2004) 147170.

10. J. A. Hucaba , *Commutative ring*, Allyn and Bacon Inc, Boston, 1970.

11. O. A. S. Karamzadeh and M. Rostami, *On the intrinsic topology and some related ideals of $C(X)$*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 93(1) (1985), 179-184.

12. J. C. McConnel and J. C. Robson , *Noncommutative Noetherian rings*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1987. MR 89j:16023.

13. S. Safaean and A. Taherifar , *d-ideals, fd-ideals and prime ideals*, (submitted).

14. A. Taherifar , *Some generalizations and unifications of $C_K(X)$, $C_\psi(X)$ and $C_\infty(X)$*, (submitted).

Author

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Yasouj University , Yasouj, IRAN, P.O.Box:75914-353

E-mail address: `ataherifar@mail.yu.ac.ir, ataherifar54@gmail.com`